

EEL-5425

Year:

 Semester

 Date

Print Last Name:		Panther I.D.	XXX-
Print First Name:		Signature:	

PAPER REVIEW FORM

Thank you for agreeing to be one of our reviewers. We are keen to ensure a high standard of papers. In general, the quality of papers received is good, but occasionally some weaker papers are also accepted for review. We are also eager to help authors who may not yet have achieved a suitable skill in writing academic papers to the necessary quality. In order to help these people improve we would be grateful if you would, wherever possible, provide constructive advice as to how they can make the paper more acceptable.

We would therefore be grateful if you would complete the table and rate the paper on the issues described below.

Paper Author(s):	
Paper Title:	

	5 (excellent)	4 (very good)	3 (good)	2 (satisfactory)	1 (poor)
Relevance to the topic of the course					
Appropriateness of title					
The coverage of the topic is sufficiently comprehensive and balanced					
The various parts of the text fit together; they all make sense with respect to the goal of the paper					
Technical content and accuracy					
Technical depth of the paper					
Writing style and clarity of the paper: the text is carefully organized into meaningful sections, subsections and paragraphs					
Standard of English (spelling, grammar, punctuation, ...)					
Abstract adequately summarized the paper content					
Conclusions valid and properly supported					
Formatting of tables, graphs, and figures					
Adequacy, accuracy and format of references:					
The text is carefully organized into meaningful sections, subsections and paragraphs					
OVERALL EVALUATION OF THIS PAPER					

DETAILED COMMENTS

Please state why you rated the paper as you did in the table above. Indicate any revisions that are required. Please give the author specific guidance regarding that revision, differentiating between optional and mandatory changes. Feel free to attach extra sheets of comments and to make notes on the manuscript.

Helpful questions which you may consider do be highlighted in these comments if applicable:

1. Does the author cover everything promised in the introduction?
2. Does the author provide adequate motivation?
3. Does the author provide adequate background information?
4. Are all descriptions clear? Are the tables and figures clear? Do they make sense on their own or only if one has read the text carefully? Are there too many? Would an additional table or figure help? Would an example help?
5. Does the author justify each of the points made?
6. Are the equations, algorithms, methods, experiments, and conclusions: correct, robust comprehensive and sensible?
7. Is the research properly ground in the literature?
8. Has the author performed a complete analysis and drawn insightful conclusions?

9. *Has the author described his or her future research plans? Is it clear where the research described in this paper will lead and what the next step will be?*
10. *Is the conclusion significant? Is it just a rehash of the paper? Does it provide new synthesis or insights? Does it leave the reader excited about the research, the research domain or the future?*
11. *Does the author use important, current and adequate citations? Are there too many citations? Are any irrelevant or insignificant? Are they at an adequate level for the publication (e.g., research oriented vs. trade journals; technical reports vs. journal articles)? Are there too many citations to the author's own work? Can you suggest any missing citations the author may have overlooked?*
12. *How is the author's writing style? Is it too "dense" to make sense? Does it keep the reader's interest? Is it too informal? Note that an informal style in itself sometimes is very effective in getting a paper's ideas across. Similarly, many authors use humor very effectively in research papers. Only if the informality or humor gets in the way, should it be discouraged. (On the other hand, there are certain fields which enforce very formal writing styles, in which an informal style is deemed inappropriate.)*

COMMENTS: